United States. Circuit Court. District of Connecticuit.

In Equity. No. 1142 on Patent 683,676

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY, Complainant

against

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY, Defendant

RECORD, 1903-1905

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

No 6142

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT,

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT.

Recorded Vol. 21, p. 419.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY,

Complainant,

against

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY,

Defendant.

BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Patent No. 683,676

RICHARD N. DYER,
Solicitor for Complainant,
No. 31 Nassau Street,
Manhattan Borough,
New York City.

Filed Oct 24, 1903
E. E. Mason
Clerk

Discontinued without
prejudice & Costs
June 26, 1908
E. E. Mason
Clerk

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT:-

The NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New Jersey and having its principal place of business at Orange in the County of Essex and State of New Jersey, brings this, its bill of complaint, against AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of West Virginia and having a regular and established place of business in the City of Bridgeport and State of Connecticut in said District.

And thereupon your orator complains and says:-

I.- That heretofore and before the 31st day of July, 1900, Jonas Walter Aylsworth and Walter Henry Miller, being then as now residents of East Orange and Orange, respectively, in the County of Essex and State of New Jersey, and both citizens of said State, were the original, first and joint inventors of a certain new and useful apparatus for duplicating phonographic records, not known or used by others in this country before their invention or discovery thereof, and not patented or described in any printed publication in this or any foreign country before their invention or discovery thereof, or more than two years prior to their application for a patent therefor in this country as hereinafter set forth, and not in public use or on sale in this country for more than two years prior to their said application, and which had not been abandoned; and which invention or improvement was not first patented or caused to be patented by the said inventors or

Raymond R. Vile
Research Library

their legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country upon an application filed more than seven months prior to the filing of the said application in this country.

II.- That the said Aylsworth and Miller, being as aforesaid the first inventors and discoverers of the said improvement, jointly made . application in writing to the Commissioner of Patents of the United States for the grant of Letters Patent therefor, and paid into the Treasury of the United States the fees required by law, and then and there fully and in all respects complied with all the necessary conditions and requirements of the statutes of the United States in such case made and provided, and by a proper instrument in writing, duly executed and delivered, and duly recorded in the Patent Office, sold and assigned to your orator, National Phonograph Company, the full and entire right, title and interest in and to the said invention and application and the Letters Patent to be granted in pursuance of said application, and requested the Commissioner of Patents to issue the said patent to your orator as their assignee, and thereupon, after due examination having been made by the Commissioner of Patents as to the novelty and utility of the said invention as provided by law, the Commissioner of Patents caused to be issued to your orator, National Phonograph Company, Letters Patent in due form of law, under the seal of the Patent Office of the United States, signed by the Secretary of the Interior and countersigned by the Commissioner of Patents, and bearing date the 1st day of October, 1901, and numbered 683,676; and that the said Letters Patent did grant unto your orator and unto its successors or assigns, for the term of seventeen years from the date thereof, the exclusive right to make, use and vend the

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

said invention throughout the United States and the Territories thereof, as by said Letters patent or a duly authenticated copy thereof in court to be produced will more fully and at large appear.

III.- That you orator is engaged in the manufacture of phonographic records produced by the employment of said improvement or invention, and has so manufactured and sold large quantities of such phonographic records, and has invested and expended large sums of money and has been to great trouble in and about the said invention for the purpose of introducing the same and making the same profitable to your orator and to the public; and your orator believes that it will realize and receive large gains and profits therefrom if infringement by the said defendant and its confederates be prevented.

IV.- That the said defendant, well knowing the premises and the rights secured to your orator as aforesaid, but contriving to injure it and to deprive it of the benefits and advantages which might and otherwise would accrue unto it from the said invention, did, after the grant of said Letters Patent and before the commencement of this suit, as your orator is informed and believes, within the District of Connecticut aforesaid and elsewhere in the United States, without license or allowance and against the will of your orator and in violation of its rights, unlawfully and wrongfully make, or cause to be made, and is now making, or causing to be made, phonographic records by the employment of the said improved apparatus for duplicating phonographic records employing and containing the invention set forth in the Letters Patent aforesaid, and did use or cause to be used, as

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

aforesaid, the said invention in the manufacture of said phonographic records; and that it still continues so to do, and that it threatens to continue the aforesaid unlawful acts to a large extent, all in defiance of the rights secured to your orator as aforesaid, and to its great and irreparable loss and injury, and by which your orator has been and still is being deprived of great gains and profits which it might and otherwise would have obtained, but which have been received and enjoyed by the said defendant through its said unlawful acts and doings. And your orator further shows that as to how many phonographic records the defendant has as aforesaid unlawfully made, and as to the extent of the gains and profits received and enjoyed by it from such unlawful making, your orator is ignorant and prays a discovery thereof.

V.- That the manufacture of phonographic records by the employment of the invention set forth in the Letters Patent aforesaid by the defendant, and its preparation for and avowed determination to continue the same in disregard and defiance of the rights of your orator, have the effect to encourage and induce others to venture to infringe said Letters patent.

VI.- Your orator therefore prays that the said defendant, American Graphophone Company, and its officers, servants, agents, attorneys, employees, workmen and confederates, and each and every of them, may be perpetually restrained and enjoined, by the order and injunction of this Honorable Court, from directly or indirectly making phonographic records by the employment of the invention of the Letters Patent aforesaid, and from directly or indirectly making, constructing, using, vending, delivering,

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

working, or putting in operation or use, or in anywise counterfeiting or imitating the apparatus for duplicating phonographic records described and claimed in the aforesaid Letters Patent No. 683,676, or any apparatus made or operated in accordance therewith or like or similar to those which it, the said defendant, has hitherto employed in the manufacture of duplicate phonographic records in infringement of said Letters Patent, and that they, and each and every of them, be ordered to deliver to your orator, or to an officer of this Court, for destruction, all apparatus used by the defendant in infringement of said Letters Patent and that the said defendant may be decreed to pay the costs of this suit, and that you orator may have such other and further relief as to this Honorable Court shall seem meet and as shall be agreeable to equity.

VII.- Your orator further prays than an injunction pendente lite be granted, issuing out of and under the seal of this Honorable Court, enjoining and restraining the said defendant and its officers, servants, agents, attorneys, employees, workmen and confederates, and each and every of them, to the same purport, tenor and effect as hereinbefore prayed for with regard to said perpetual injunction.

VIII.- And forasmuch as your orator can have no adeuate relief save in this Court, to the end therefore that the said defendant may, if it can, show why your orator should not have the relief hereby prayed, and may, but not upon oath, an answer under oath being hereby expressly waived, according to the best and utmost knowledge, remembrance, information and belief of its officers, full, true, direct and perfect answer make to the premises and to all

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

the several matters hereinbefore stated and charged, as fully and particularly as if the same were here repeated, and it especially interrogated as to each and every of said matters, and may be compelled to account for and pay to your orator the profits by it acquired, and the damages suffered by your orator from the aforesaid unlawful acts, and that the Court may assess said profits and damages, and may increase the damages to a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof.--

May it please your Honors to grant unto your orator a writ of subpoena, issuing out of and under the seal of this Honorable Court, directed to the said defendant, American Graphophone Company, commanding it, by a certain day and under a certain penalty, to be and appear in this Honorable Court, then and there to answer to the premises, and to stand to and abide such order and decree as may be made against it.

And your orator will ever pray.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY,

By W. E. Gilmore

President.

Richard N. Dyer

Solicitor and of Counsel

for Complainant.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,)

County of Essex,) ss.-

WILLIAM E. GILMORE, being duly sworn, deposes

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

and says, that he is the president of the National Phonograph Company, the complainant named in the foregoing bill of complaint; that he has read the said bill and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to his own knowledge save as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters he believes it to be true; and that he verily believes Jonas Walter Aylsworth and Walter Henry Miller to be the first, original and joint inventors of the improved apparatus for duplicating phonographic records set forth in said Letters Patent numbered 683,676, referred to in the said bill of complaint.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22nd day of October, 1903.

William E. Gilmore

Frank L. Dyer

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
COMMISSION EXPIRES FEBRUARY, 1908.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

COPY

from

ARCHIVES BRANCH
FEDERAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER
Waltham, Massachusetts

Record Group No. 21

Additional Information

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

No 11142

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

For the ..... District of CONN.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY

vs.

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY

In Equity, ~~Docket No. 11142.~~

On M. & A. Pat. No. 683,676.

ANSWER.

PHILIP MAURO,

for DEFENDANT.

277 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY.

~~To~~ ..... ~~Esq.~~,

~~Due and timely service of a copy of the within~~

~~is hereby admitted.~~

~~Dated~~ Jan 6 1904

E. D. Marvin

Clerk

C. B. MERWIN PRINTER 218 FULTON ST., N. Y., TEL. 3467 CORT.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY

v.

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY

IN EQUITY

On Miller & Aylsworth's Patent No. 683,676. Apparatus.

ANSWER.

This defendant, now and at all times hereafter, saving and reserving unto itself any and all benefits and advantages of exception which can or may be had or taken to the many errors, uncertainties and other imperfections in the said complainant's bill of complaint contained, answering thereto or to so much and such parts thereof as it is advised it is material or necessary to make answer unto says:

That as to whether complainant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business at Orange in said State, this defendant does not know and is not informed, save by the bill of complaint herein, and therefore calls upon complainant for proof thereof; but this defendant admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of West Virginia, and that it has a regular and established place of business in the City of Bridgeport, State of Connecticut.

1. Defendant denies each and every allegation of paragraph 1 of the bill of complaint.

2. Defendant admits that U.S. Letters-patent No. 683,676 were issued to the National Phonograph Company on October 1,1901; but on information and belief denies the other allegations of paragraph II of the ~~xxxx~~ bill of complaint, defendant not being informed save by said bill.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

3. Not being informed save by the bill of complaint, defendant denies the allegations of paragraph III thereof.

4. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraphs IV and V of the bill of complaint; and, more specifically, defendant denies that it has in any way infringed, or has prepared or intends to infringe, the letters-patent in suit, and further denies that it has committed any acts whatsoever which would encourage and induce others to infringe the same.

5. Defendant avers on information and beliefthat the said Jonas Walter Aylsworth and Walter Henry Miller were not the original, first and sole inventors or discoverers of the alleged invention or improvement set forth in U.S.Letters-patent No. 683,676, or any substantial or material part thereof; that the same and each and every substantial part thereof were, longprior to the said alleged invention, set forth in the following letters-patent and publications, namely:

Letters-patent of the United States:

No. 13,117,	June 19,1855,	Otis;
33,884,	Dec. 10,1861,	Gwynne;
37,898,	Mar. 17,1863,	Bonanzo;
95,645,	Oct. 12,1869,	Brunner;
108,306,	Oct. 11,1870,	Van Haagen;
185,094,	Dec. 5,1876,	Wilder;
255,449,	Mar. 28,1882,	Pedrick;
281,529,	July 17,1883,	Lefferts;
303,970,	Aug. 26,1884,	Appelt;
326,049,	Sept. 8,1885,	Muncaster;
327,160,	Sept.29,1885,	Hackman & Walter;
334,786,	Jan. 26,1886,	La Bau;
359,637,	Mar.22,1887,	Schuberth;
368,851,	Aug. 23,1887,	Philion;
392,796,	Nov. 13,1888,	Hadley;
400,649,	Apr. 2,1889,	Edison;
408,998,	Aug. 13,1889,	Tattershall;
414,761,	Nov. 12,1889,	Edison;
437,429,	Sept. 30,1890,	Edison;
445,932,	Feb. 3,1891,	Murnane & Drosten;
466,728,	Jan. 5,1892,	Smith;
484,582,	Oct.18,1892,	Edison;
493,191,	Mar. 7,1893,	Smith;
525,116,	Aug.28,1894,	Richards;
528,273,	Oct.30,1894,	Lioret;
563,572,	July 7,1896,	Day;
573,564,	Dec.22,1896,	Ziegler-Reinacher;
657,527,	Sept. 11,1900,	Edison;
661,143,	Nov. 6,1900,	Grasser;
662,857,	Nov. 27,1900,	Hett;
662,858,	Nov. 27,1900,	Hett;

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Nos. 666,493, Jan. 22,1901, Capps;
666,720, Feb. 5,1901, Edison;
666,819, Jan. 29,1901, Reynard;
666,937, Jan. 29,1901, Petit;
667,662, Feb. 5,1901, Edison;
682,991, Sept. 17,1901, Macdonald;
682,992, Sept. 17,1901, Macdonald;

Letters-patent of Great Britain:

No. 1478 of 1894, Young;

Publications as follows:

Knight's Mechanical Dictionary, Volume 2,1880, pages 1461-1462;

Knight's Mechanical Dictionary, Volume 3,1880, page 2169;

also many other letters-patent and publications as to the dates, numbers and description of which defendant is at present ignorant, but which it begs leave to disclose as soon as the same shall have been ascertained and to amend this answer by insertingthe same allegations concerning such other letters-patent or publications as are hereinbefore made concerning those now known to this defendant as aforesaid.

6. This defendant avers, on information and belief, that the said Aylsworth & Miller were not the original, joint and first inventors or discoverers of the alleged invention or improvement set forth in said Letters-patent No. 683,676, or any material or substantial part thereof, and further avers that the said alleged invention or improvement, and each and every substantial and material part thereof, were, long prior to the said alleged invention either known or used by, or both known to and used by the following persons at the places hereinafter named, to-wit:

Thomas A. Edison of Llewellyn Park, New Jersey;

Place of use: Llewellyn Park, NewJersey;

Frank L. Capps of Newark, New jersey;

Place of use: Newark, New Jersey;

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

James K. Reynard, of Newark, New Jersey;

Place of use: Newark, New Jersey;

Ademore N. Petit of Newark, New Jersey;

Place of use: Newark, New Jersey;

Thomas H. Macdonald of Bridgeport, Connecticut;

Place of use: Bridgeport, Connecticut.

7. This defendant avers, on information and belief, that the said letters-patent does not disclose or show any invention whatsoever in view of the state of the art of manufacturing sound records and analogous arts, as they existed at and long before the said Aylsworth & Miller made the alleged improvement set forth in said letters-patent; and that, in view of the said state of the art, said alleged improvement was not patentable, and involved, if anything, mere mechanical skill.

8. This defendant further answering says, that by reason of amendments, disclaimers, and arguments filed during the pendency of the application for said letters-patent, said letters-patent in suit is, if valid at all, restricted and limited in scope to a process which is not now and has not been employed by defendant.

9. Defendant avers, on information and belief, that for the purpose of deceiving the public the description and specification filed in the Patent Office in connection with the application for said letters-patent and now forming a part of the letters-patent itself, was made to contain less than the whole truth relative to the alleged invention or discovery, and that for this reason said letters-patent is null and void.

10. Defendant avers, on information and belief, that the apparatus or alleged invention set forth in said letters-patent is inoperative and incapable of producing any useful result whatsoever.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

11. Defendant avers on information and belief that the alleged invention of said letters-patent No. 683,676 was abandoned to the public prior to the grant of the patent.

Wherefore, and for the causes aforesaid, this defendant wholly denies the equity of complainant's bill herein, and all manner of wrongful and unlawful acts wherewith in the said bill of complaint it is charged, and, further denies the right of the complainant to the relief, and each and every part thereof, alleged against this defendant in said bill of complaint, and submits it should not be compelled to make any other or further answer than that herein contained.

All of which matters and things this defendant is ready and willing to aver, maintain and prove as this Honorable Court shall direct; and said defendant prays the same benefits from this answer as if it had demurred to the said bill where a demurrer would have been proper, and pleaded to the said bill where a plea would have been proper, and humbly prays to be hence dismissed with its reasonable costs and charges in this behalf most wrongfully sustained.

American Graphophone Company.

by E. D. Eaton

President.

Attest

E. F. Richmond

(Seal) Secy

Philip Mauro,
C. A. L. Massie,
of Counsel.

Philip Mauro,
Sol. for Dept.
277 Broadway
New York City

State of New York,)
:ss:
City of New York,)

Edward D. Easton, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is of lawful age, is a resident of New York City, and is President of the American Graphophone Company, the defendant named in the bill of complaint herein; that he has read the said answer subscribed by him as President of the defendant Company and knows the contents thereof; except as to those matters alleged to be stated on information that the same are true of his own knowledge and belief, and as to those matters he believes it to be true.

Edward D Easton

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of January, 1904.

Elias Lamb
Notary Public,
N.Y.C.

(Seal)

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

COPY

from

ARCHIVES BRANCH

FEDERAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER

Waltham, Massachusetts

Record Group No. 21

Additional Information

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

A true copy of the original Writ.

Attest:

U. S. Marshal.

BY

Deputy U. S. Marshal.

District of Connecticut, Fairfield County, } ss. Bridgeport Oct 24 1903

Then and there I served the within Writ upon the Defendant therein named, by delivering to and leaving with H A Budlong. Executive Officer of said defendant corporation, in this Bridgeport.

~~Defendant, personally, and at the last usual place of abode, in said District,~~

~~Defendant, in the hands of~~ , ~~an adult person,~~
~~and in the hands of~~ , ~~the clerk and managing~~
~~agent of~~ , ~~Defendant,~~ at the ~~principal~~
place of business of said Defendant, a true and attested copy hereof.

Attest: Edson S Bishop

FEES: BY *U. S. Marshal.*

Service, 2.00
Copies,
Travel,
Endorsements,

$2.00 Philip E Bowen

Deputy U. S. Marshal.

No. 1142

United States Circuit Court,
District of Connecticut,

In Equity.

National Phonograph Company
AGAINST
American Graphophone Company

Writ of Subpœna,

Issued October 24 1903

Returnable December Rule Day, 1903

5-58

H. A. Budlong
Executive Officer

The President of the United States of America,

L. S.

To the Marshal of the District of Connecticut,

Sendeth Greeting.

You are hereby commanded to SUMMON

The American Graphophone Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of West Virginia and having a place of business in the City

of Bridgeport in the State and District of Connecticut, named Respondents in the bill of Complaint herein, to appear before the Judges of the Circuit Court, of the United States of America, for the District of Connecticut, in the second circuit, in Equity, on the First Monday of December, 1903, ~~18~~ , wheresoever the said Court shall then be; to answer a bill of Complaint, exhibited against said Respondents in said Court by The National Phonograph Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, and having a place of business at Orange in the County of Essex and State of New Jersey, upon certain Letters Patent of the United States, No. 683,676,

and then and there to answer the same, and to do and abide by what said Court may order and decree in the premises.

Make due service and return, at least twenty ——— days before said First Monday of December, 1903~~18~~ .

Witness, the Hon. Melville W. Fuller, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Seal of said Circuit Court, at Hartford, in said district, this 24th day of October, A. D. ~~18~~ 1903.

E. E. Marsh Clerk.

The Defendant is required to enter its appearance in said cause in the Clerk's Office of said Court, on or before the day at which this writ is returnable, or by the rules of said Court, said bill will be taken as confessed.

E. E. Marsh Clerk.

Richard N. Dyer, Esq.,
No. 31 Nassau Street,
New York, N. Y.
Complainant's Solicitor.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

No 1142

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STAT
District of Conn.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY

vs.

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY.

IN EQUITY, ~~DOCKET NO. 1142~~.

PRAECIPE FOR APPEARANCE.

PHILIP MAURO, ESQ.,

For Defendant,

277 Broadway,

New York City

Filed Dec 7. 1903
E. Ellsworth
Clerk

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

For the District of Connecticut.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY

vs.

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY.

In Equity.
(On Miller & Aylesworth Patent, No. 683,676).

Docket No. 1142.

PRAECIPE FOR APPEARANCE.

The Clerk of the above-entitled Court will please enter my appearance as Solicitor and of Counsel for the Defendant in the above-entitled cause.

Dated December 7, 1903.

Very respectfully,

Philip Mauro

277 Broadway,

New York City.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

No 1142

U. S. Circuit Court.

DISTRICT OF Connecticut

IN EQUITY.

~~No 1042~~

National Phonograph Company Complainant

vs.

American Graphophone Company Defendant

Replication.

Richard N. Dyer

Complainant's Solicitor

Filed Feb 1. 1904

D. Clarke Hamond

Clerk

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

702.—Replication.
E. J. AO.

John Polhemus Printing Company, Printers and Mf'g Stationers,
121 Fulton St., New York.

Circuit Court of the United States.

District of Connecticut

National Phonograph Company
Complainant
vs
American Graphophone Company
Defendant

In Equity.
No. 1042

The Replication of the above named Complainant to the answer of the above named Defendant

This repliant saving and reserving unto itself now and at all times hereafter, all and all manner of benefit and advantage of exception which may be had or taken to the manifold insufficiencies of the said answer, for replication thereunto says that ~~he~~ it will aver, maintain and prove its said Bill of Complaint to be true, certain and sufficient in law to be answered unto, and that the said answer of the said defendant is uncertain, untrue and insufficient to be replied unto by this repliant. Without this that any other matter or thing whatsoever in the said answer contained, material or effectual in the law to be replied unto, and not herein and hereby well and sufficiently replied unto, confessed or avoided, traversed or denied is true. All which matters and things this repliant is and will be ready to aver, maintain and prove as this Honorable Court shall direct, and humbly prays as in and by its said Bill ~~he~~ it has already prayed.

Richard N. Dyer
Complainant's Solicitor

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

COPY

from

ARCHIVES BRANCH
FEDERAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER
Waltham, Massachusetts

Record Group No. 21
Additional Information Records of the United States Circuit Court for the District of Cuba.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

NO. 1142.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT,

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT.

IN EQUITY.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY

vs.

AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY.

ORDER.

Filed & Entered
June 26. 1905
E. O. Harris
Clerk

Richard N. Dyer,
Complainant's Solicitor,
31 Nassau St.,
New York City, N.Y.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT,

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT.

NATIONAL PHONOGRAPH COMPANY, Complainant, vs. AMERICAN GRAPHOPHONE COMPANY, Defendant.	IN EQUITY, NO. 1142.

ORDER.

On motion of Richard N. Dyer, Esq., solicitor for complainant, and upon the consent of defendant's solicitor, it is

ORDERED that the above entitled action be and the same hereby is discontinued without prejudice and without costs to either party as against the other.

Dated, Hartford, Conn., June 26 1905.

By the Court

Attest E. H. Harris Clerk

~~U. S. J.~~

We hereby consent to the entry and filing of the above order.

Richd N. Dyer

Solicitor for Complainant.

Philip Mauro

Solicitor for Defendant.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

COPY

from

ARCHIVES BRANCH
FEDERAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER
Waltham, Massachusetts

Record Group No. 21

Additional Information

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

No. 1142

U. S. Circuit Court.

Nat'l Phonograph Co.

vs.

Am. Graphophone Co.

CLERK'S MEM.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

U. S. Circuit Court. District of Connecticut.

CLERK'S FEES IN

Nat'l Phonograph Co. versus Am. Graphophone Co., No. 1142.

Plff's Solr.

1904. Jan. 1.	Filing precipe for appearance and report, .25; entering app. Complainant's solicitor, .30;		55
	filing complaint and report, .25; dockets and indexes before issue, $1;	1	25
	Copies of writ @ 75c. each.		75
	entering recognizance, .15; taking do., .25; issuing writ and rule for return and filing, 1.25;	1	25
July	entering app. Defendant's solicitor and precipe, .55; ~~filing answer and report, 25; filing repli~~ 1 con .15 ans .25		
	replication and report, .25; docket and indexes on issue joined, 1.00; ~~witnesses sworn, 2 verdicts~~	3	80
	~~drawn, .30; verdict filed and entered, 25;~~ copies of pleadings for Court on do. 44 fols. @ .10c. 44/6		55
1905. Jan. 1,	1 continuance, .15 ~~stipulations, consents and orders, notices and calendars~~	4	35
" July 1,	~~special assignments, depositions and exhibits filed, 10 printed records, 1.00;~~	5	75
Apr 8.	1 Con .15, 003 O.J. .50 ~~and record 5.10~~		15
	docket and indexes on evidence given, 1.00; filing opinion, .10; filing and entering decree, .10;		15
	record of pleadings and decree, fols. @ 15c.,		2.80
190 .	2 continuances, .30		
190 .	2 continuances, .30		

38
09
47

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library